



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/821,435

04/09/2004

Prasanna J. Satarasinghe

31426.51

1235

86175 7590 08/03/2009  
Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP  
P.O. Box 10500  
McLean, VA 22102

EXAMINER

LANIER, BENJAMIN E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2432

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/03/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/821,435	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SATARASINGHE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> BENJAMIN E. LANIER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2432	

**All Participants:**

(1) BENJAMIN E. LANIER.

(2) Martin Sulsky (Reg. No. 45,403).

**Date of Interview:** 30 July 2009

**Status of Application:** After RCE

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Benjamin E Lanier/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2432

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Sulsky to discuss the claim amendments (dated 07 July 2009) that require a conversion of non-alphanumeric octet values to alphanumeric octet values. Examiner stated that the specification was not enabling for the claims because the conversion discussed in the specification (Pages 7-8) did not convert the values as claimed. Mr. Sulsky stated that the specification includes a typographical error, and that the conversions disclosed (Pages 7-8) should be specific to the decimal values as opposed to the octet values. Examiner stated that he would go over the conversions with the respect to the decimal values. .